

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/049,701 04/28/2002		04/28/2002	Ilan Samson	2613RI-1	7723		
22442	7590	12/30/2005		EXAMINER			
SHERIDAN ROSS PC				HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE			
1560 BROADWAY SUITE 1200				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
DENVER, CO 80202				3727			

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				T-2-4.	
		Applicat	tion NO.	Applicant(s)	
	Off: A - 4' - O	10/049,	701	SAMSON, ILAN	
(Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit	
····		Robin A.		3727	
<i>Tt</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communeply	nication appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	?SS
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (f - If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE NOT INTO THE PROVINCE OF THE PR	MAILING DATE OF T is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATIOn the control of th	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)∐ Thi: 3)∐ Sin	sponsive to communication(s) files action is FINAL . The this application is in condition accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This action is for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters, pro		erits is
Disposition o	of Claims				•
4a) 5)	•	are withdrawn from c			
10)∭ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are licant may not request that any objected to declaration is objected to ath or declaration is objected to	: a) ☐ accepted or bection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Se ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Certified copies of the priority	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receive lle 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	age
2) Notice of [3]	References Cited (PTO-892) Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or S)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		i2)

Application/Control Number: 10/049,701

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 14-16,18,19, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinz et al. (US 2,657,836).

Heinz teaches a cup portion 4 and lid 1, the lid having a spout, a plug 5 inserted within the spout, and a skirt 3 for attachment to the cup. The plug has ridges in a continuous helical pattern formed by the helical grooves 6 and 7. The spout allows for the cup contents to flow out of the cup through the grooves and out of the spout opening 2. Heinz does not teach a liquid in the cup and is silent regarding the specific dimensions of the cup as set forth in the claims of the instant application.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the cup, i.e., the cup portion, the lid including spout and plug, of a size to allow the flow of fluid as set forth in the instant claims since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Heinz teaches the lid and plug are preferably formed of plastic material, but are not limited thereto. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. To form the detachable member of an elastomeric material would allow for a more compressible material to be inserted further into the spout and provide a more engaged fit therebetween.

It is noted the intended use of the cup of Heinz is for a granular material. The cup can also be used to hold and dispense liquid material. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. As set forth herein, the cup of Heinz is capable of performing the function of the intended use of the instant invention.

3. Claims 4-19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buchman et al. (US 5,890,621) in view of Boese (US 3,102,651).

Buchman teaches the claimed cup except for the detachable member being a plug having continuous helical ridges.

Boese teaches a flow controlling, detachable member of plastic composition. The plug can be formed of other materials (see column 4, lines 30-31) and is seen in figure 2A to have continuous ridges.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the detachable member of Boese for the detachable member of Bachmann.

Doing so provides a one piece flow controlling plug easier to clean and use.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the cup, i.e., the cup portion, the lid including spout and plug, of a size to allow the flow of fluid as set forth in the instant claims since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/049,701

Art Unit: 3727

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-19 and 25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 5. This Office action is made non-final in view of the new grounds of rejection.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 7. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 8. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

The L	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled to J.S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date shown below:
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate
	Signature
	Date

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

Art Unit: 3727

should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse, can be reached on (571) 272-4544.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Miller at (571) 272-4370.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov.

RAH December 27, 2005

> Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner

GAU 3727